



Forest Carbon Partnership Facility

Origination for the Carbon Fund of Emission Reductions PINs

Fifth Meeting of the Carbon Fund (CF5)

Paris, France

October 16 -17, 2012

Originating ER Programs: Process To Date

- **Co-Chair's summary from CF4 in Colombia: Selecting ER-PINs into the pipeline:**

Agreed selection criteria are the following (details in Resolution CFM/4/2012/1):

- Progress towards Readiness
 - Political commitment
 - Methodological Framework
 - Scale
 - Technical soundness
 - Non-carbon benefits
 - Diversity and learning value.
- **Country-driven process thus far:**
 - Countries making progress on Readiness have self-selected to present early ideas to CF
- **7 countries presented ER Program ideas thus far**

Early ER Program Ideas Presented Thus Far

FCPF Country	Key Features of Presentation	Date
<i>Detailed Presentations</i>		
Costa Rica	Expanding existing PES national program into additional lands and land use activities; MRV challenges	March, June, Oct. 2012
DRC	Build on existing projects in Bandundu province near Kinshasa; shift to sustainable fuelwood supply; capacity building	Oct. 2011, June 2012
Vietnam	6 provinces in central coastal region; afforestation and avoided deforestation	June & Oct 2012
<i>Early Idea Presentations</i>		
Ghana	Mosaic of small parcels in one region; sustainable cocoa	March 2012
Indonesia	Emerging REDD+ programs in Indonesia; MRV capacity	Oct. 2011
Mexico	Potential for ER Program providing co-benefits	Oct. 2011
Nepal	Capacity building for readiness underway; potential pilots; biodiversity conservation	March 2012

Potential Topics for Discussion

- **Who can submit an ER-PIN?**
 - Any entity, as long as REDD+ focal point endorses?
 - Other Delivery Partners involved, other than WB?
- **ER-PIN can be submitted in what stage of Readiness process?**
 - While R-PP grant work is underway, in parallel ? . . .
 - . . . If R-PP work contributes to ER-PIN development, and capacity is available?
 - Early thinking about ER-PIN can help identify issues that R-PP work plan could be modified to address
 - Consultation plan could include early discussion of ER-PIN options?
- **R-PP activities directly inform ER-PIN work, and ER-PIN options add focus and potential locations of activities to R-PP work -- each complements the other.**

Potential Topics for Discussion: 2

- **Is a formal origination process needed?**
 - To solicit ERPs for the pipeline, and ensure high-quality ER-PINs?
- **Trade-offs exist across several objectives:**
 - Broad casting of the net for ideas
 - A clear, known, accessible process, and
 - Efficiency – FMT and CFP time developing & assessing many proposals
- **How many ER-PINs are optimal? Cost implications? (\$200 available in FMT budget now)**

